VOLUME 70, NUMBER 15



JULY 22, 2005

© Copyright 2005 by the American Chemical Society

## Silanediol Inhibitors of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme. Synthesis and Evaluation of Four Diastereomers of Phe[Si]Ala **Dipeptide Analogues**<sup>1</sup>

Jaeseung Kim,<sup>†,‡</sup> Gregory Hewitt,<sup>†</sup> Patrick Carroll,<sup>§</sup> and Scott McN. Sieburth<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York 11794, Department of Chemistry, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19010, and Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

scott.sieburth@temple.edu

Received October 23, 2004



Four stereoisomers of a Phe-Ala silanediol dipeptide mimic have been evaluated as inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and compared to ketone-based inhibitors reported by Almquist et al. One stereogenic center of the isomers was derived from the individual enantiomers of methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate, with separation of diastereomers after introduction of the second stereogenic center. The diastereomeric identities were established by X-ray crystallography of an intermediate. Inhibition of ACE by three of the silanediol diastereomers ( $IC_{50} = 3.8-207$  nM) closely paralleled that of the corresponding diastereomeric ketones (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.0-46 nM). The fourth diastereomer, corresponding to the least inhibitory ketone ( $IC_{50} = 3200 \text{ nM}$ ), exhibited an unexpected level of inhibition in the silanediol (IC<sub>50</sub> = 72 nM), suggesting an alternative mode of binding to the enzyme.

Inhibition of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) is a classic medicinal chemistry approach to the treatment of hypertension, with 10 inhibitors currently sold in the U.S.<sup>2</sup> Captopril, **1ad** Figure 1, was the first protease inhibitor to achieve commercial success. Despite the longstanding importance of this enzyme, its crystal structure has only recently been reported.<sup>3</sup>

ACE is a metalloprotease<sup>4</sup> and inhibitors incorporate a functional group (X, Figure 1) that interacts with the active site zinc ion: thiol **a**, carboxylates **b** and **c** ( $\mathbf{R} =$ H), and the phosphinic acid of 4 (R = H). Among the commercially successful inhibitors, most are based on the alanine-derived fragment 1, with one of three substituents  $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ , and proline or a proline surrogate  $(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{j})$ .<sup>2</sup>

Our interest in exploring the use of a silanediol group as a central, zinc chelating component of a protease inhibitor<sup>6-10</sup> was motivated by both the similarity and the dissimilarity of carbon and silicon chemistry. Silicon

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry, Temple University. Phone: 215-204-7916. <sup>†</sup> State University of New York at Stony Brook.

Temple University.

<sup>§</sup> University of Pennsylvania.

<sup>(1)</sup> Initial report: Kim, J.; Sieburth, S. McN. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* Lett. **2004**, *14*, 2853–2856.

<sup>(2)</sup> Leung, D.; Abbenante, G.; Fairlie, D. P. J. Med. Chem. 2000. 43, 305 - 341.

<sup>(3)</sup> Natesh, R.; Schwager, S. L. U.; Sturrock, E. D.; Acharya, K. R. Nature (London) 2003, 421, 551–554. (4) Lipscomb, W. N.; Sträter, N. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2375–2433.



FIGURE 1. Components of commercial ACE inhibitors.<sup>5</sup>

is the element most closely related to carbon, but it forms double bonds only under duress. This property allows silanediols to act as tetrahedrally stable mimics of a hydrated carbonyl, although as a second row element the silane would be marginally larger. Silanediols may have advantages over other hydrated carbonyl isosteres because they are neutral at physiological pH. Phosphinic acids **4** and carboxylates **b** and **c** have  $pK_a$  values below 5 and can require delivery as ester prodrugs.

We targeted ACE as our initial foray into metalloprotease inhibition with silanediols because of the maturity of this enzyme's structure-activity mapping, its ready availability, and its importance as a pharmaceutical target. A critical issue, however, was the choice of an inhibitor structure with which one could formulate a firstgeneration silanediol-based inhibitor, a structure with a degree of steric hindrance surrounding the zinc-binding element.

Silanediols are best known as unstable precursors of siloxane polymers.<sup>11</sup> The stability of silanediols is intrinsically linked to the steric shielding provided by the two organic groups that flank the silanediol.<sup>12</sup> Introduction of a silanediol group into one of the commercial ACE inhibitors, Figure 1, at a position that would interact with the active site zinc ion, would replace the thiol or the carboxylate groups in partial structures  $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ , or the phosphorus in 4. Unfortunately, none of the resulting structures would have provided a silanediol environment commensurate with unequivocally suppressing oligomer formation. In contrast, Almquist's ketone 5,<sup>13–15</sup> Figure 2, has a more substantial peptide-like structure, with what appeared to be an ideal level of substitution on either side of the ketone. The ketone is presumably bound



FIGURE 2. Almquist's ketone inhibitor 5, silanediol analogues 6-9, and the first silanediol inhibitor structures 10 and 11.

to the enzyme as the ketone hydrate.<sup>16,17</sup> At the outset of this project, the literature contained approximately 100 characterized silanediols.<sup>18</sup> None, however, contained stereogenic centers or functional groups. The effect of the latter on the silanediols was, therefore, unknown.

In our first exercise in silanediol-based protease inhibitor synthesis, the initial structures to be prepared included silanediol 10 and methylsilanol 11.7 Silanediol 10 was the structure most analogous to ketone 5, but carried an isobutyl group where 5 has a benzyl group. In addition, the two stereogenic carbons flanking the silanediol were not controlled, yielding a mixture of four diastereomers. Nevertheless, this diastereomeric mixture was found to inhibit ACE with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 14 nM. Considering that only one of the four diastereomers would be expected to provide most of the inhibition, this was considered a highly successful outcome. In comparison, replacement of the central silanediol, anticipated to be important for interaction with the enzyme active site, with a methylsilanol group, 11, gave little enzyme inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub> > 3000 nM). These results were consistent with the silanediol group interacting with the enzyme as a transition state analogue inhibitor of ACE, and provided impetus for additional investigation.

The publications of Almouist et al. included ACE inhibition data for 5, and for the three individual diastereomers derived by systematically changing the stereochemistry of the methyl and benzyl substituents.<sup>15</sup> We therefore set out to prepare the exact silanediol analogue 6 and each of the analogous diastereomers 7-9 for comparison with their respective ketones.

(18) A current search of the Beilstein-Crossfire database indicates that the number is now closer to 140.

<sup>(5)</sup> Captopril (1ad), perindopril (1bg), enalapril (1cd), ramipril (1cf), trandolapril (1ch), quinapril (1ci), moexipril (1cj), lisinopril (2cd), benzapril (3c), fosinopril (4e).

<sup>(6)</sup> Sieburth, S. McN.; Nittoli, T.; Mutahi, A. M.; Guo, L. Angew. *Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 812–814. (7) Mutahi, M. W.; Nittoli, T.; Guo, L.; Sieburth, S. McN. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7363-7375.

<sup>(8)</sup> Kim, J.; Glekas, A.; Sieburth, S. McN. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 3625-3627.

<sup>(9)</sup> Kim, J.; Sieburth, S. McN. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3008-3014. (10) Kim, J.; Sieburth, S. McN. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 2853 - 2856

<sup>(11)</sup> West, R. In Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry; King, R. B., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1994; Vol. 6, pp 3389-3404.

<sup>(12)</sup> Lickiss, P. D. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 42, 147-262.

<sup>(13)</sup> Almquist, R. G.; Chao, W.-R.; Ellis, M. E.; Johnson, H. L. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23, 1392-1398.

<sup>(14)</sup> Meyer, R. F.; Nicolaides, E. D.; Tinney, F. J.; Lunney, E. A.; Holmes, A.; Hoefle, M. L.; Smith, R. D.; Essenburg, A. D.; Kaplan, H. R.; Almquist, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 964-969.

<sup>(15)</sup> Almquist, R. G.; Crase, J.; Jennings-White, C.; Meyer, R. F.; Hoefle, M. L.; Smith, R. D.; Essenburg, A. D.; Kaplan, H. R. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 1292-1299.

<sup>(16)</sup> Gelb, M. H.; Svaren, J. P.; Abeles, R. H. Biochemistry 1985, 24.1813 - 1817

<sup>(17)</sup> Brady, K.; Abeles, R. H. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 7608-7617.



### **Results and Discussion**

To prepare the individual stereoisomers 6-9, we adopted the retrosynthetic plan shown in Scheme 1. Using our previously successful approach, acidic hydrolysis of a diphenylsilane to reveal the silanediol in the last stage of the synthesis,  $^{6-8,19}$  the direct precursor of **6** became diphenylsilane **12**.  $\alpha$ -Aminosilane **12** would be prepared from the ketone **13**. Indiscriminant reduction the carbonyl of **13** would yield two diastereomers, and ultimately both **6** and **7**. The ketone **13** would be prepared via three-component coupling of nucleophiles **14** and **16** with diphenyldifluorosilane **15**. The enantiomerically pure lithium reagent **16** would be derived from the commercially available (S)-**17**. In a similar fashion, silanediols **8** and **9** would be prepared from the commercially available (R) isomer **18**.

Assembly of the silanediols is shown in Scheme 2, which begins with dithiane **19**. Metalation of **19** followed by transfer of the anion to a slight excess of difluorodiphenylsilane at -78 °C gave the fluorosilane **20** in 83% yield, ready for introduction of the nucleophile **16**.

# JOC Article

Nucleophile **16** was prepared in four steps, beginning with (S)-**17**, following the method of White et al.<sup>20</sup> *O*-Benzylation of the alcohol using benzyl trichloromethylimidate (83%), followed by reduction of the ester by lithium aluminum hydride (87%) and conversion of the resulting alcohol to the iodide using iodine and triphenylphosphine (85%), gave **21**. This iodide was converted to the corresponding lithium reagent **16** using 2 equiv of *tert*-butyllithium.<sup>21,22</sup> Addition of this anion to fluorosilane **20** gave the silane **22** in 65% yield. Hydrolysis of the dithiane using mercuric chloride in aqueous acetonitrile gave the yellow, sensitive silyl ketone **13** (73%),<sup>23-25</sup> which was immediately reduced to a 1:1 mixture of diastereomeric alcohols **23** in quantitative yield.

Alcohols 23 proved to be difficult to separate and the next four stages of the syntheses were conveniently carried out on the diastereomeric mixture. Displacement of the alcohol with phthalimide using Mitsunobu conditions (63%), followed by removal of the phthalimide group using hydrazine, gave a primary amine that was condensed with benzoyl chloride to furnish amide 24 (91% for two steps). Removal of the benzyl protecting group with boron tribromide then gave a mixture of 25 and 26 (90%). With an alcohol and a secondary amide adjacent to the two stereogenic centers, these diastereomers could be readily separated over silica gel. For the two diastereomers, one of the stereogenic centers was established by its source in 17, but the relatively remote  $\alpha$ -aminosilane stereocenter of the (S,S) and (S,R) isomers was more difficult to define and was eventually resolved by X-ray crystallography (see below).

Completion of the silanediol synthesis was conducted using the individual stereoisomers **25** and **26**. Alcohol **26** was oxidized to the acid in two stages, using Ley's perruthinate method to give the aldehyde,<sup>26</sup> immediately followed by Pinnick oxidation<sup>27</sup> to the acid using buffered



### SCHEME 2. Synthesis of Silanediols 6-9

J. Org. Chem, Vol. 70, No. 15, 2005 5783



FIGURE 3. Crystal structure of alcohol 26.

sodium chlorite (77% for the two steps). The acid was then coupled with the *tert*-butyl ester of L-proline using diethyl cyanophosphonate to give diamide **27** (80%).<sup>28</sup> The ultimate step in the preparation of the silanediol was acidic hydrolysis of the diphenylsilane **27** with simultaneous removal of the *tert*-butyl ester, to give the silanediol **6**, performed using triflic acid. The two remaining diastereomeric silanediols **8** and **9** were prepared from the (*R*) hydroxy ester **18**, with a set of reactions identical with those used with **17**.

It is notable that the L-proline adducts of the stereoisomers derived from (S)-alcohol **17** exhibited wellresolved signals in the NMR spectra. In contrast, the (R)alcohol **18**-derived adducts with L-proline gave the appearance of a mixture in their NMR spectra. These were conformation/rotamer-based subspecies of the pure substances, shown by heating the samples to 105 °C in DMSO- $d_6$ , which collapsed the multiplicity of NMR absorbances into a single set of peaks.

The hydroxyamide stereoisomer **26** provided crystals and a crystal structure, Figure 3, revealing the relative stereochemistry of the two stereogenic centers.<sup>29</sup> The crystal structure of **26** shows the alcohol forming an eight-membered ring hydrogen bond to the secondary amide. The derivation of **26** from (S)-**17** coupled with the

- (19) Chen, C.-A.; Sieburth, S. McN.; Glekas, A.; Hewitt, G. W.; Trainor, G. L.; Erickson-Viitanen, S.; Garber, S. S.; Cordova, B.; Jeffrey, S.; Klabe, R. M. *Chem. Biol.* **2001**, *8*, 1161–1166.
- (20) White, J. D.; Kawasaki, M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5292–5300.
  (21) Bailey, W. F.; Punzalan, E. R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5404–
- (22) Negishi, E.-i.; Swanson, D. R.; Rousset, C. J. J. Org. Chem.
- (23) Brook, A. G.; Duff, J. M.; Jones, P. F.; Davis, N. R. J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. 1967, 89, 431–434. (24) Corey, E. J.; Seebach, D.; Freedman, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967,
- (24) Corey, E. J., Seebach, D., Freedman, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1907,
  89, 434–436.
  (25) Deepa P. C. P., McKenzia, M. I. Sei, Sunth. 2002, 4, 512–567.
- (25) Page, P. C. B.; McKenzie, M. J. Sci. Synth. 2002, 4, 513–567.
  (26) Ley, S. Y.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P. Synthesis 1994, 639–666.
- (27) Bal, B. S.; Childers, W. E., Jr.; Pinnick, H. W. Tetrahedron **1981**, 37, 2091–2096.
- (28) Takuma, S.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1982**, *30*, 3147–3153.

SCHEME 3. Hydrolysis of the Diphenylsilanes to Silanediols



relative stereochemistry shown in the crystal structure defined the absolute configurations of **25** and **26**, and therefore **6** and **7**. With the origin of **8** and **9** from (*R*)-**18**, the chromatographic properties of *ent*-**25** and *ent*-**26** fully clarified the identity of the stereoisomers of their progeny **8** and **9**, setting the stage for evaluation of their ability to inhibit ACE.

Hydrolysis of the diphenylsilanes merits discussion. The protolytic cleavage of aryl groups from silicon is a long-established transformation, involving ipso protonation of the aromatic ring followed by cleavage of the silicon-carbon bond, a bond cleavage that requires simultaneous attack of a nucleophile on silicon, Scheme 3.<sup>30</sup> In the case of the diphenylsilane **27** and its stereoisomers, two amides are poised for intramolecular attack to yield a postulated spirocyclic intermediate 29. Addition of ammonium hydroxide allows the silyl ethers, which are strained,<sup>31</sup> to hydrolyze to the silanediol. In some cases, the silanediol 6 can be isolated directly following the ammonium hydroxide treatment; however, acidic and basic conditions promote polymerization of dialkylsilanediols.<sup>32</sup> To counteract any oligomer formation, we have incorporated the addition of 48% HF to the hydrolysis procedure, which converts any silicon-heteroatom bond to a silicon-fluorine bond, yielding the monomeric difluorosilane 30.33,34 This procedure has proven to be particularly valuable for silanediols less sterically protected than 6-9,<sup>9</sup> and when used with the compounds described here lead to very clean, monomeric difluorides and silanediols. As in prior examples, treatment of the difluorosilane **30** with sodium hydroxide led to a rapid hydrolysis to the silanediol.

Evaluation of the silanediols 6-9 was conducted using Holmquist's modification<sup>35</sup> of the enzyme assay described

- (31) Corriu, R. J. P.; Guérin, C.; Guirand, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 8–9.
- (32) Osterholtz, F. D.; Pohl, E. R. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. **1992**, 6, 127–149.
- (33) Marans, N. S.; Sommer, L. H.; Whitmore, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1951**, 73, 5127–5130.
- (34) Eaborn, C. J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 2846–2849.
- (35) Holmquist, B.; Bünning, P.; Riordan, J. F. Anal. Biochem. **1979**, 95, 540–548.

<sup>(29)</sup> Compound **26** crystallizes from acetonitrile in the monoclinic space group *P*21 with a = 13.8822(8) Å, b = 8.4270(6) Å, c = 24.2031-(14) Å,  $b = 92.353(5)^\circ$ , V = 2829.0(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, and  $d_{calc} = 1.126$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Final least-squares refinement using 9016 unique reflections with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  gave  $R(R_w) = 0.1060$  (0.1933).

<sup>(30)</sup> Eaborn, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 100, 43-57.



**FIGURE 4.** Comparative  $IC_{50}$  data for silanediols **6–9** and ketones **5** and **31–33**.<sup>15</sup> **P** indicates proline.

by Cushman and Cheung,<sup>35,36</sup> employing commercially available enzyme and fluorescent substrate,<sup>37</sup> and the results are shown in Figure 4. Gratifyingly, the potency of the most effective silanediol inhibitor 6 was nearly as effective as the corresponding ketone 5, less inhibitory by a factor of 4. Similarly, silanediols 7 and 8 were slightly less potent inhibitors than the ketone diastereomers 31 and 32, by a factor of 2.3 and 4.5, respectively, confirming the greater sensitivity of the methyl stereochemistry relative to the benzyl group. Surprisingly, inverting both stereogenic centers relative to the most potent inhibitors led to a silanediol (9) that was more potent of an inhibitor of ACE than simply changing the methyl stereochemistry (8). This is in stark contrast to the effect of these stereogenic centers with the ketone **33** where the effect is synergistic; inverting both stereogenic centers relative to **5** results in a structure with very low inhibitory activity. This result may indicate that the silanediol 9, with its slightly altered bond lengths and bond angles relative to the carbonyl hydrate, can interact with the enzyme in a different manner. Additional studies are in progress.

### **Experimental Section**

[[(2R)-3-Iodo-2-methylpropoxy]methyl]benzene (ent-21).<sup>38</sup> To a 0 °C solution of (2S)-2-methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propanol<sup>20</sup> (3.99 g, 22.17 mmol), triphenylphosphine (6.30 g, 24.0 mmol), and imidazole (1.63 g, 23.9 mmol) in ether (40 mL) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (25 mL) under nitrogen was added a solution of iodine (6.05 g, 23.8 mmol) in ether (35 mL). The reaction was kept at 0 °C for 3.5 h. The solution was transferred to a separatory funnel with ether (50 mL). The solution was washed successively with saturated Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (60 mL), saturated Cu<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (30 mL), water (40 mL), and brine (40 mL). The organic portions were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Cold hexanes was added to precipitate triphenylphosphine oxide, which was removed by vacuum filtration. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography  $(0{:}1{-}2{:}98$  ethyl acetate/hexanes) to produce  $ent{-}21$  (5.47 g, 85%) as a clear oil.

(S)-21: [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> 14.5 (*c* 1.41, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3029, 2868, 1453, 1361, 1199, 1100, 736, 697 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39–7.26 (m, 5H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.43–3.28 (m, 4H), 1.85–1.75 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.3, 128.3, 127.6, 74.1, 73.1, 35.1, 17.6, 13.9.

(*R*)-ent-21:  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  –10.0 (*c* 5.4 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); R<sub>f</sub> 0.42 (1:19 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (neat) 3029.0, 2960.6, 1453.3, 1361.7, 1101.3, 697.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37–7.33 (m, 5H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.42–3.29 (m, 4H), 1.85–1.75 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.3, 128.3, 127.6, 74.0, 73.1, 35.1, 17.6, 13.9; exact mass (FAB) MH<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>IO 291.0246, found 291.0240.

[2-(Phenylmethyl)-1,3-dithian-2-yl]fluorodiphenylsilane (20). To a 0 °C solution of 2-benzyl-1,3-dithiane (19) (9.86 g, 46.9 mmol) in THF (200 mL) was added *n*-butyllithium (2.5 M in hexane, 20.0 mL, 50 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 1.25 h at 0 °C, cooled to -78 °C, and then transferred by cannula to a -78 °C solution of diphenyldifluorosilane (12.46 g, 56.56 mmol) in THF (100 mL) over 10 min. After 1 h at -78 °C, the solution was allowed to gradually warm to room temperature over 5 h and then stirred overnight. After addition of water (200 mL), the aqueous phase was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 200 mL). The combined organics were then washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solution was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give 20 as a colorless solid (16.06 g, 83%). Mp 83-84 °C; Rf 0.42 (5:95 ethyl acetate/ hexanes); IR (neat) 3112, 2924, 1442, 1289, 1121, 862, 694 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.94–7.27 (m, 15H), 3.34 (s, 2H), 2.73-2.67 (m, 2H), 2.52-2.45 (m, 2H), 1.80-1.76 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 136.0, 135.4, 131.48, 131.39, 130.7, 127.8, 127.5, 126.9, 44.2, 38.0 (d due to F, J = 56.0 Hz), 25.6, 23.2; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –168.5; exact mass  $(FAB) (M - H)^+$  calcd 409.0916, found 409.0911.

[(2R)-2-Methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][2-(phenylmethyl)-1,3-dithian-2-yl]diphenylsilane (ent-22). To a solution of [[(2R)-3-iodo-2-methylpropoxy]methyl]benzene (ent-21) (7.47 g, 25.8 mmol) in pentane (150 mL) and ether (105 mL) at -78 °C was added tert-butyllithium (1.38 M, 44.0 mL, 60.7 mmol) slowly over 2 min. After being stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, the solution was warmed to room temperature over 20 min, then recooled to -78 °C. this solution was transferred by cannula over 5 min to a -78 °C solution of (2-benzyl[],3]dithian-2-yl)fluorodiphenylsilane (20) (10.9 g, 26.6 mmol) in ether (105 mL). After 3 h at -78 °C the solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature over 6 h and kept at room temperature overnight. After addition of water (200 mL) and extraction of the aqueous phase with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 250 mL), the combined organics were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes) to give ent-22 as a clear viscous oil (9.31 g, 65%):  $R_f$  0.28 (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexanes);  $[\alpha]^{20}$ <sub>D</sub> 18.7 (c 0.87 CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3054, 2966, 1422, 1265, 911, 745, 650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.06-7.41 (m, 20 H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 3.34-3.29 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.52-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.29-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.02-1.94 (m, 2H), 1.77 (dd, J = 4.2, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.34 (dd, J = 8.0, 15.2 Hz,1H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 138.9, 138.4, 136.9, 133.2, 132.7, 131.5, 129.6, 128.2, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.7, 77.7, 72.6, 47.0, 37.6, 29.5, 25.2, 23.4, 20.3, 14.8; exact mass (FAB) MNa calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>38</sub>ONaS<sub>2</sub>Si 577.2031, found 577.2052.

(S)-22:  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  -16.6 (c 0.82, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3003, 2900, 1467, 1427, 1101, 752, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.84–7.17 (m, 20 H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 3.38 (s, 2H), 3.15–3.01 (m, 2H), 2.45–2.35 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.24 (m, 2H), 2.00–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.56 (dd, J = 4.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.12 (dd, J = 8.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 0.70 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.9, 138.4, 136.9, 133.2, 132.7, 131.5, 129.6, 128.2, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.7, 77.7, 72.6, 47.0, 37.6,

<sup>(36)</sup> Cushman, D. W.; Cheung, H. S. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1971**, *20*, 1637–1648.

<sup>(37)</sup> Angiotensin-converting enzyme from rabbit lung (Sigma) and  $N\mbox{-}[3\mbox{-}(2\mbox{-}furyl)acryloyl]\mbox{-}Phe\mbox{-}Gly$  as substrate.

<sup>(38)</sup> Keck, G. E.; Palani, A.; McHardy, S. F. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 3113–3122.

29.5, 25.2, 23.4, 20.3, 14.8; exact mass (FAB)  $[M-H]^+$  calcd for  $\rm C_{34}H_{37}OS_2Si$  553.2055, found 553.2051.

[(2R)-2-Methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][2-phenyl-1-oxoethyl]diphenylsilane. To a solution of [(2R)-2-methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][2-(phenylmethyl)-1,3-dithian-2yl]diphenylsilane (ent-22) (1.71 g, 3.08 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (66 mL) was added  $HgCl_2$  (4.27 g, 15.7 mmol) and water (8.5 mL). The resulting milky white suspension was stirred at room temperature for 1.25 h. The suspension was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with water (200 mL), and extracted thrice with 200-mL portions of hexanes. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and filtered through a 1 in. pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography (1:9 ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give [(2R)-2methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][2-phenyl-1-oxoethyl]diphenylsilane as a clear yellow oil (1.05 g, 73%):  $R_f 0.47$  (1:9 ethyl acetate/hexanes); [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> 11.0 (c 1.65 CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3028.1, 2869.7, 1649.0, 1453.3, 1428.2, 1110.0, 734.8, 698.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 7.60–6.84 (m, 20H), 4.29 (s. 2H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 3.17 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.03-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.49 (dd, J = 6.0, 15.3 Hz, IH), 1.13 (dd, J = 8.1, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 0.83(d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  240.2, 138.4, 135.5, 135.4, 132.8, 132.2, 132.1, 130.0, 129.8, 128.2, 128.0, 127.4, 127.3, 126.4, 77.2, 72.5, 55.6, 29.6, 20.3, 16.5; MS m/e (rel intensity) 487 (MNa, 3), 137 (26), 155 (11), 177 (100), 199 (38), 259 (26), 375 (30), 439 (24)

(S):  $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D} - 11.5 (c \ 0.82 \ {\rm CHCl}_3)$ ; IR (neat) 33069, 2822, 1648, 1421, 1111, 735, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60–6.84 (m, 20H), 4.31 (m. 2H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 3.17 (dd, J = 1.5, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.03–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.49 (dd, J = 5.9, 14.9 Hz, 1H), 1.13 (dd, J = 7.9, 15.1 Hz, 1H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  240.5, 138.6, 135.6, 132.9, 132.3, 132.2, 130.0, 129.9, 128.3, 128.1, 127.5, 127.4, 126.5, 77.3, 72.6, 55.7, 29.6, 20.3, 16.5; exact mass (FAB) (MNa<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SiNa 487.2069, found 487.2048.

[(2R)-2-Methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][2-phenyl-1-hydroxyethyl]diphenylsilane (ent-23). To a 0 °C solution of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0.206 g, 5.4 mmol) in ether (40 mL) was added dropwise a solution of 1-[(3-benzyloxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phenylethanone (0.51 g, 1.1 mmol) in ether (10 mL) over 10 min. The reaction mixture was maintained at 0 °C for 1 h and then warmed to room temperature over 2 h. The reaction was quenched with water (1 mL), 1 M NaOH (1 mL), and water (2 mL). MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added and the solution was filtered and concentrated to afford a clear oil that was purified by flash chromatography (2:98-1:4 ethyl acetate/ hexanes) to give a mixture of the two diastereomers 1-[(3benzyloxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phenylethanol (ent-23) as a clear colorless oil (0.51 g, quantitative):  $R_f$ 0.36 (1:9 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (neat) 3549.8, 3027.1, 2855.4, 1453.3, 1427.2, 1109.0, 1076.2, 733.9, 699.2 cm  $^{-1}$ ;  $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 7.81-7.27 (m, 20H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.24 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.04-2.99 (m, lH), 2.85-2.78 (m, 1H), 2.22-2.16 (m, 1H), 1.99 (br s, 1H), 1.66 (dd, J = 5.6, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (dd, J =8.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 139.8, 138.4, 135.5, 134.3, 134.1, 129.4, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 127.8, 127.5, 127.4, 126.2, 77.6, 72.7, 65.2, 39.8, 29.6, 20.6, 15.6; exact mass (FAB) (MNa<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{31}H_{34}O_2SiNa$ , 489.2226, found 489.2235.

(S)-23: IR (neat) 3412, 3022, 2901, 1420, 1113, 721, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67–7.14 (m, 20H), 4.38 (s, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.18–4.10 (m, 1H), 3.22 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.94–2.85 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.09–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.78 (dd, J = 4.2, 23.5 Hz, 1H), 1.49–1.39 (m, IH), 1.17–0.99 (m, 1H), 0.87 (dd, J = 2.6, 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  139.8, 138.47, 135.57, 134.45, 134.21, 129.5, 129.1, 128.52, 128.50, 127.90, 127.58, 127.42, 126.30, 77.7, 72.8, 65.3, 39.9, 29.7, 20.6, 15.8.

2-[[1-[(2R)-2-Methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl]diphenylsilyl]-2-phenylmethyl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione. To

a solution of the mixture of two diastereomers of 1-[(3benzyloxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phenylethanol (ent-23) (0.568 g, 1.22 mmol), phthalimide (0.248 g, 1.68 mmol), and triphenylphosphine (0.48 g, 1.83 mmol) in THF (12.0 mL) at 0 °C was added diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD, 0.36 mL, 2.28 mmol) over 2 min. The flask was removed from the cooling bath, and the resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (2:98-1:9 ethyl acetate/hexanes) to provide an inseparable mixture of the two diastereomers of 2-[1-[(3-benzyloxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phenylethyl]isoindole-1,3-dione as a viscous oil (0.458 g, 63%):  $R_f$  0.32 (15:85 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (neat) 3027.1, 2855.4, 1773.4, 1705.9, 1454.2, 1385.8, 1102.3, 735.8, 699.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.80-7.15 (m, 24H), 4.83 (t, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.50- $3.40 \ (m, 1H), \ 3.31 - 3.17 \ (m, 3H), \ 2.08 - 2.00 \ (m, 1H), \ 1.77 \ (dd, 1H), \$ J = 4.8, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (dd, J = 4.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.1 (br), 139.2, 138.6, 135.7, 135.4, 134.1, 133.9, 133.3, 133.2, 131.6, 129.6, 128.5, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, 127.2, 126.2, 77.4, 72.4, 41.6, 35.0, 29.5, 20.2, 16.0; exact mass (FAB) MNa, calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>NaSi 618.2440, found 618.2432.

(S): IR (neat) 3021, 1724, 1408, 1112, 721, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.62–6.96 (m, 24H), 4.61 (t, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (s, 2H), 3.31–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.11–2.98 (m, 3H), 1.87–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.56 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.14 (dd, J = 8.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.70 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.8 (br), 139.2, 138.6, 135.6, 135.5, 135.4, 134.0, 133.3, 133.2, 131.5, 129.6, 128.4, 128.1, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 126.2, 77.4, 72.5, 41.9, 35.1, 29.5, 20.3, 16.1; exact mass (FAB) MNa<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SiNa 618.2440, found 618.2427.

[(2R)-2-Methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][1-(benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]diphenylsilane (ent-24). To a solution of 1-{[(3-benzyloxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phenylethyl}isoindole-1,3-dione (135 mg, 0.227 mmol) in absolute ethanol (3.5 mL) was added hydrazine (0.10 mL, 3.1 mmol), and the mixture was heated to reflux for 6 h, during which a white precipitate was observed. The mixture was cooled and filtered through a plug of glass wool, concentrated, resuspended in ether, and filtered through a short pad of silica gel. The filtrate was concentrated, diluted with ether (3.0 mL) and saturated NaHCO $_3$  (1.0 mL), and cooled to 0 °C. Benzoyl chloride (0.03 mL, 36.3 mg, 0.258 mmol) was added and after 1.5 h the solution was washed with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. The aqueous portion was extracted with ether  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (15 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography (2:98-1:4 ethyl acetate/ hexanes) to yield a mixture of diastereomers of ent-24 (117 mg, 91%):  $R_f$  0.27 (15:85 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (neat) 3027.1, 2906.6, 1658.7, 1650.0, 1643.3, 1601.8, 1515.0, 1486.1,1313.5, 1105.2, 1076.2, 733.9, 698.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  7.51–7.02 (m, 25H), 5.89 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.77–  $4.71 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.24 \text{ (d, } J = 4.4 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 3.06-2.92 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 2.50 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 2.50 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 3.06-2.92 \text{ (m, 2H)$ (t, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.84-1.76 (m, 1H), 1.38 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.0 (dd,Hz, 1H), 0.97-0.90 (m, 1H), 0.70 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.9, 139.1, 138.4, 135.5, 135.4, 135.3, 133.7, 133.3, 132.9, 130.8, 129.8, 128.8, 128.32, 128.22, 128.09, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 126.4, 126.1, 77.6, 72.8, 38.9, 37.7, 29.6, 20.4, 16.2; exact mass (FAB) (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $C_{38}H_{40}NO_2Si$ 570.2828, found 570.2809.

(S)-24: IR (neat) 3428, 3312, 3104, 2881, 1688, 1541, 1485, 1112, 721, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.52–7.04 (m, 25H), 5.93 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.80–4.73 (m, 1H), 4.25 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H), 3.11–2.95 (m, 3H), 2.52 (t, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.87–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.42 (dd, J = 4.8, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.00–0.92 (m, 1H), 0.72 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.9, 139.1, 138.4, 135.5, 135.4, 135.3, 133.6, 133.3, 132.8, 130.8, 129.8, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4,

127.3, 126.4, 126.1, 77.6, 72.8, 38.9, 37.6, 29.6, 20.4, 16.2; exact mass (FAB) (MH^+) calcd for  $C_{38}H_{40}NO_2Si$  570.2828, found 570.2854.

[(2*R*)-2-Methyl-3-(phenylmethoxy)-1-propyl][1-amino-2-phenylethyl]diphenylsilane. A sample of the intermediate amine was also characterized: IR (neat) 3359, 3083, 2908, 1494, 1427, 1109, 732, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.56–7.02 (m, 20H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 3.13–3.05 (m, 2H), 2.99–2.93 (m, 2H), 2.21 (t, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.40 (t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 0.96 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 0.87 (br s, 2H), 0.77 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  140.4, 138.6, 135.6, 134.1, 134.0, 129.4, 128.9, 128.3, 127.8, 127.3, 126.0, 77.7, 72.6, 41.3, 40.3, 29.6, 20.4, 15.7; exact mass (FAB) MNa<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NOSiNa 466.2566, found 466.2561.

[(2R)-2-Methyl-3-hydroxy-1-propyl][(1S)-1-(benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]diphenylsilane and [(2R)-2-Methyl-3hydroxy-1-propyl][(1R)-1-(benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]diphenylsilane (ent-25 + ent-26). To a -78 °C solution of N-[1-[(3-benzyloxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phen-interval and the second secondylethyl]benzamide (ent-24) (460 mg, 0.807 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8.2 mL) was slowly added BBr<sub>3</sub> (2.42 mL, 1.0 M in CH<sub>2</sub>C1<sub>2</sub>) and the resulting solution was stirred and allowed to warm to room temperature over 5 h. The solution was recooled to -78 °C and methanol (4 mL) was carefully added. The mixture was warmed to room temperature over 20 min and concentrated, and the resulting oil was then taken up in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel, and water was added until the yellow color had faded (10 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2  $\times$  20 mL), and the combined organics were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography (2:98-1:4 ethyl acetate/hexanes) to yield (S,R)-ent-26 (higher  $R_f$ , 191.5 mg, 49.5%) and (R,R)-ent-25 (lower  $R_f$ , 153.3 mg, 40%).

(S,R)-ent-26:  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  80 (c 0.1, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>);  $R_f$  0.29 (4:6 ether/ hexanes); IR (neat) 3310.6, 3036.6, 2922.0, 1633.6, 1539.1, 1427.2, 1326.0, 1109.0, 698.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67–7.13 (m, 20H), 5.91 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (dt, J =4.4, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 4.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.23–3.17 (m, 2H), 2.57 (dd, J = 12.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (br s, 1H), 1.86– 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.51 (dd, J = 5.2, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (dd, J =8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.67 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.4, 139.0, 135.5, 135.4, 134.7, 133.3, 133.1, 131.1, 130.0, 129.9, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 126.5, 126.3, 69.8, 38.9, 37.2, 31.7, 19.9, 15.5; exact mass (FAB) (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si 480.2359, found 480.2353.

 $(\pmb{R},\pmb{R})\text{-ent-25:}$   $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D}$  –90 (c 0.2, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>);  $R_f$  0.24 (4:6 ether/hexanes); IR (neat) 3317.4, 3067.6, 2923.9, 1644.2, 1517.9, 1316.3, 1109.0, 698.2 cm^{-1}; ^{1}{\rm H} NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67–7.09 (m, 20H), 5.89 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dt, J = 4.4, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (dd, J = 6.0, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, J = 6.4, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, J = 4.4, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, J = 10.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (br s, 1H), 1.76–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.37 (dd, J = 5.6, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 1.06 (dd, J = 8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.75 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}{\rm C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.0, 139.1, 135.5, 135.3, 135.1, 133.8, 133.0, 131.0, 129.9, 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 126.5, 126.2, 70.0, 39.0, 37.6, 32.0, 19.8, 16.1; exact mass (FAB) (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si 480.2359, found 480.2371.

(**R**,**S**)-26:  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  -45 (c 0.24, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3300, 3086, 2902, 1634, 1520, 1421, 1105, 699 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67–7.11 (m, 20H), 5.85 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (m, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 4.9, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.23–3.17 (m, 2H), 2.57 (dd, J = 11.8, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 1H), 1.91–1.78 (m, IH), 1.51 (dd, J = 5.4, 15.0 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (dd, J = 7.7, 15.0 Hz, 1H), 0.67 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  128.5, 167.5, 139.1, 135.6, 135.5, 134.7, 133.3, 133.2, 131.2, 130.04, 129.98, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 126.6, 126.3, 69.9, 38.9, 37.3, 31.8, 19.9, 15.6.

(S,S)-25: [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> 72.5 (c 0.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3389, 3301, 3084, 2901, 1640, 1512, 1419, 1097, 688 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.67–7.09 (m, 20H), 5.86 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H),

4.83 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.22 (m, 2H), 3.15 (dd, J = 4.4, 14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd, J = 10.9, 14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.79–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 1H), 1.37 (dd, J = 5.6, 15.1 Hz, 1H), 1.06 (dd, J = 8.0, 15.0 Hz, 1H), 0.75 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.0, 128.5, 128.3, 139.2, 135.5, 135.3, 135.2, 133.8, 133.1, 130.99, 129.95, 128.2, 126.5, 126.3, 70.1, 39.0, 37.7, 32.1, 19.9, 16.2. 129.92, 128.9; exact mass (FAB) (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>34</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>Si 480.2359, found 480.2376.

(2R)-3-[[(1R)-1-(Benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]diphenylsilyl]-2-methylpropanoic Acid. To a solution of N-[1-(R)-[(3-hydroxy-2(R)-methylpropyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2-phenylethyl]benzamide ((R,R)-ent-25) (88 mg, 0.183 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL) at room temperature were added consecutively N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (27 mg, 0.23 mmol), powdered 4 Å molecular sieves (300 mg), and TPAP (3.8 mg, 0.011 mmol). The solution was stirred for 2.5 h, then filtered through a pad of silica with 1:9 ethyl acetate/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Concentration of the filtrate produced the crude aldehyde as a clear colorless oil.

To the aldehyde in *t*-BuOH (4.0 mL) and water (1.0 mL) was added NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (42.0 mg, 0.35 mmol) and 2-methyl2-butene (1.0 mL), followed by addition of NaClO<sub>2</sub> (25.9 mg, 0.28 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and then diluted with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (12 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with saturated NaCl (15 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography (2:98 methanol/methylene chloride) to afford 3-[(1(*R*)-benzoyl-amino-2-phenylethyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2(*R*)-methylpropionic acid as a white foam (69 mg, 77%).

(*R***,***R*):  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  – 7.8 (*c* 1.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.44 (1:15 methanol/ methylene chloride); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3684.8, 3619.2, 3021.3, 1705.9, 1652.9, 1516.9, 1428.2, 1217.9, 1110.9, 928.7, 771.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63–7.13 (m, 20 H), 5.92 (d, *J* = 10 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (dt, *J* = 4.0, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53–2.48 (m, 1H), 1.71 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 1.31 (dd, *J* = 6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.07 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  182.2, 167.1, 138.9, 135.5, 135.3, 134.9, 132.7, 132.0, 131.0, 130.0, 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 126.5, 126.3, 38.8, 37.3, 35.0, 20.5, 16.3; exact mass (FAB) MNa<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>NaSi 516.1971, found 516.1965.

(S,R):  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  8.3 (*c* 0.7, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); *R<sub>f</sub>* 0.44 (1:15 methanol/ methylene chloride); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3684.8, 3625.9, 3024.2, 1705.0, 1652.7, 1519.8, 1428.2, 1219.0, 1045.4, 928.7, 772.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63–7.07 (m, 20 H), 6.08 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (dt, *J* = 3.6, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.52–2.47 (m, 1H), 1.73 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.8, 167.0, 139.0, 135.0, 134.5, 132.8, 132.4, 130.6, 129.6, 129.5, 128.5, 127.9, 127.80, 127.77, 127.69, 126.4, 125.8, 39.1, 36.5, 34.6, 19.8, 15.7; exact mass (FAB) MH<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>32</sub>-NO<sub>3</sub>Si 494.2151, found 494.2174.

 $(\pmb{R},\pmb{S}):$  mp 70–72 °C;  $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D}$  –48.6 (c 0.7, CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  $R_f$  0.44 (1:15 methanol/methylene chloride); IR (neat) 3345, 3080, 2912, 1721, 1647, 1535, 1431, 1120, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63–7.13 (m, 20 H), 5.90 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (dt, J = 4.0, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, J = 4.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.66–2.56 (m, 2H), 1.71 (dd, J = 5.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (dd, J = 7.2, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.6, 167.6, 138.8, 135.5, 135.4, 134.5, 132.2, 132.0, 131.3, 130.2, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 126.6, 126.4, 39.0, 37.2, 34.9, 19.6, 16.5; exact mass (FAB) (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>-Si 494.215, found 494.214.

(**S,S**): mp 78–80 °C;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  50.3 (*c* 0.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  $R_{\rm f}$  0.44 (1: 15 methanol/methylene chloride); IR (neat) 3310, 3062, 2912, 1710, 1528, 1421, 1115, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63–7.13 (m, 20 H), 5.84 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dt, J = 4.4, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dd, J = 4.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, J = 11.2, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.56–2.46 (m, 1H), 1.73 (dd, J = 7.6,

15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (dd, J = 6.8, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  182.0, 167.1, 138.9, 135.5, 135.4, 135.0, 132.7, 132.0, 131.0, 130.1, 128.9, 128.4, 128.2, 126.5, 126.3, 38.8, 37.4, 35.0, 20.5, 16.3; exact mass (FAB) (MNa<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SiNa 516.1971, found 516.1976.

(2S)-1-[3-[[(1R)-1-(Benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]diphenylsilyl]-2-methyl-1-oxopropyl]-l-proline 1,1-Dimethylethyl Ester (27). To a 0 °C solution of 3-[(1-(R)-benzoylamino-2phenylethyl)diphenylsilanyl]-2(S)-methylpropionic acid (50.4 mg, 0.102 mmol) and l-proline tert-butyl ester (25.3 mg, 0.148 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added diethyl cyanophosphonate (19  $\mu$ L, 0.12 mmol) followed by triethylamine (32 L, 0.23 mmol). The reaction was maintained at 0 °C for 3 h, and warmed to room temperature overnight. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) and water (5 mL), the organic phase was washed with 5-mL portions of 5% HCl, saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, water, and saturated NaCl, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography (30:70, ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give 27 (RS) as a colorless foam (53.1 mg, 80%): mp 65-66 °C; Rf 0.47 (1:2 ethyl acetate/hexanes); [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> -61.8 (c 0.22, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr) 3321, 2929, 1724, 1637, 1617, 1511, 1278, 1165, 707 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 7.82-7.00 (m, 21H, including NH), 4.69-4.63 (m, 1H), 3.55 (dd, J = 3.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.05–3.02 (m, 1H), 2.99 (dd, J = 4.4, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 9.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42-2.37 (m, 1H), 2.30-2.25 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.51 (m, 5H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.23–1.19 (m, 1H), 1.12 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 175.7, 170.9, 167.3, 139.5, 135.5, 135.3, 135.2, 134.2, 130.8, 129.6, 129.3, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7,  $127.3,\,126.0,\,80.9,\,58.9,\,45.8,\,39.5,\,37.5,\,34.8,\,28.6,\,27.9,\,24.1,$ 21.5, 13.8; exact mass (FAB)  $MH^+$  calcd for  $C_{40}H_{47}N_2O_4Si$ 647.336, found 647.331.

(S,S): 36 mg, 73%; mp 150–151 °C;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  19.3 (c 0.27, CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  $R_f$  0.45 (1:2 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (KBr) 3304, 2921, 1732, 1644, 1631, 1545, 1420, 1153, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59–7.12 (m, 20H), 6.56 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (dt, J = 4.0, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (dd, J = 4.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.37–3.32 (m, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J = 4.0, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.97–2.91 (m, 1H), 2.70–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 11.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.02–1.72 (m, 5H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.29–1.24 (m, 1H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  175.0, 171.4, 166.8, 139.4, 135.6, 135.3, 135.2, 133.9, 133.1, 130.8, 129.7, 128.9, 128.3, 128.0, 127.97, 127.92, 126.7, 126.0, 80.9, 59.5, 46.4, 38.9, 37.6, 34.0, 28.9, 28.0, 24.5, 21.7, 16.2; exact mass (El) MH<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>47</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si 647.3305, found 647.3319.

 $(\pmb{R}, \pmb{R}): R_f$ 0.42 (1:2 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (KBr) 3423, 2975, 1736, 1638, 1527, 1426, 1151, 701 cm^{-1}; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74–7.13 (m, 20H), 5.96 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.78–4.72 (m, 1H), 3.00 (dd, J = 4.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 3.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.13–3.06 (m, 8H), 2.85–2.71 (m, 3H), 2.60–2.32 (m, 5H), 2.08–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.38 (m, 12H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.34 (s, 9H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.8, 171.5, 166.9, 139.6, 135.6, 135.3, 133.9, 133.3, 132.7, 130.8, 129.9, 129.6, 129.0, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 126.7, 126.1, 81.7, 59.7, 46.6, 39.4, 37.4, 33.9, 31.2, 27.9, 24.6, 22.2, 17.7; exact mass (FAB) MNa, calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>NaSi 669.3125, found 669.3143.

(S,R):  $R_f$  0.42 (1:2 ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (KBr) 3450, 2976, 1737, 1641, 1626, 1425, 1151, 1108, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74–7.13 (m, 40H), 6.00 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.78–4.72 (m, 2H), 4.23 (dd, J = 3.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 3.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.13–3.06 (m, 8H), 2.85–2.71 (m, 3H), 2.60–2.32 (m, 5H), 2.08–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.38 (m, 12H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.34 (s, 9H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.7, 171.3, 167.3, 139.4, 135.7, 135.5, 135.3, 134.9, 133.5, 130.8, 129.9, 129.7, 129.2, 128.9, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.2, 126.6, 126.1, 81.7, 59.5, 46.5, 39.2, 37.5, 34.6, 29.7, 27.9, 24.6, 22.2, 16.0; exact mass (FAB) MNa, calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>NaSi 669.3125, found 669.3142.

(2S)-1-[3-[[(1S)-1-(Benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]difluorosilyl]-2-methyl-1-oxopropyl]-l-proline (30). To a 0 °C solution of (S,S)-27 (70 mg, 0.108 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mL) was added trifluoromethane sulfonic acid (0.60 mL, 2.8 mmol). After 1 h the solution was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10.0 mL), followed by 14.8 N NH<sub>4</sub>OH (0.30 mL). The solution was stirred for 35 min at 0 °C and 48% HF solution (0.20 mL) was added, to give a pH of 2-3. Stirring was continued for 10 min. After addition of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL), the solution was washed with water (10 mL) and saturated NaCl (10 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to give (S,S)-30 (47 mg, 92%) as a light yellow solid:  $R_f 0.75$  on RP-TLC (C<sub>18</sub>, 1:1 ethanol/ water); mp 90-91 °C dec; IR (KBr) 3419, 2961, 1734, 1605, 1559, 1456, 1333, 1190, 707 cm  $^{-1};\,^1\!\mathrm{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, acetone $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.10 (br s, 1H), 7.99–7.20 (m, 10H), 4.15 (dd, J = 2.8,  $8.0~Hz,\,1H),\,3.56{-}3.47~(m,\,2H),\,3.24{-}3.15~(m,\,2H),\,2.93{-}2.73$ (m, 2H), 1.86-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.60-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.22-1.18 (m, 1H), 1.13 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.04–1.01 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, acetone-d_6) \delta 178.1, 173.0, 170.3, 141.3, 134.2, 130.0,$ 129.7, 129.2, 128.8, 127.0, 59.7, 47.5, 44.6 (dd, J = 20.9, 30.3Hz, due to F), 37.0, 34.6, 28.7, 25.2, 23.0 (dd, J = 19.2, 24.9 Hz, due to F), 20.7;  $^{19}\mathrm{F}$  NMR (376 MHz, acetone- $d_6)$   $\delta$  0.00  $(CFCl_3)$ , -122.7, -123.8; exact mass (FAB) M - F<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{24}H_{28}N_2O_4FSi$  455.1802, found 455.1812.

(*R*,**S**):  $R_f 0.72$  on RP-TLC (C<sub>18</sub>, 1:1 ethanol/water); mp 150–151 °C dec; IR (KBr) 3451, 2968, 1726, 1628, 1606, 1442, 1096, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1% D<sub>2</sub>O in acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.91–7.17 (m, 10H), 4.21 (dd, J = 4.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.60–3.56 (m, 2H), 3.20–3.06 (m, 2H), 2.96–2.88 (m, 2H), 1.90–1.77 (m, 4H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86–0.82 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  178.5, 173.0, 170.7, 141.3, 134.2, 130.0, 129.8, 129.7, 129.3, 128.9, 127.1, 59.9, 47.6, 44.3 (t, J = 25.2 Hz, due to F), 36.9, 34.6, 28.7, 25.3, 22.7 (t, J = 20.0 Hz, due to F), 20.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.00 (CFCl<sub>3</sub>), -127.7, -131.5; exact mass (FAB) M – F<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-FSi 455.1802, found 455.1794.

(S,R):  $R_f 0.72$  on RP-TLC (C<sub>18</sub>, 1:1 ethanol/water); mp 161– 162 °C dec; IR (KBr) 3312, 2973, 1733, 1718, 1617, 1456, 1326, 1092, 709 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetonitrile- $d_3$ )  $\delta$  8.13 (br s, 1H), 7.92–7.23 (m, 10H), 4.42 (d, J = 8. Hz, 1H), 3.63 (dt, J = 3.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, J = 9.6, 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (dt, J = 3.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.09–3.06 (m, 1H), 2.94–2.89 (m, 1H), 2.80 (dd, J = 10.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.17–2.14 (m, 2H), 1.90– 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.17 (m, 1H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.93–0.90 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetonitrile- $d_3$ )  $\delta$ 180.7, 172.8, 170.9, 141.1, 134.4, 130.3, 129.8, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 127.3, 61.0, 48.3, 44.1 (t, J = 25.3 Hz, due to F) 36.7, 34.9, 28.2, 25.3, 22.7 (t, J = 20.7 Hz, due to F), 20.3; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, acetonitrile- $d_3$ )  $\delta$  0.00 (CFCl<sub>3</sub>), -127.7, -131.5.

(*R*,*R*):  $R_f$  0.75 on RP-TLC (C<sub>18</sub>, 1:1 ethanol/water); mp 92–94 °C dec; IR (KBr) 3421, 2968, 1735, 1604, 1562, 1449, 1158, 707 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetonitrile- $d_3$ )  $\delta$  8.10 (brs, 1H), 7.80–7.21 (m, 10H), 4.42 (dd, J = 2.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (m, 2H), 3.18 (dd, J = 3.6, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 3.12–3.08 (m, 1H), 2.85–2.82 (m, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 10.0, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.17–2.11 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.96 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.15 (m, 1H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.99–0.93 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetonitrile- $d_3$ )  $\delta$  180.6, 172.8, 170.8, 141.1, 134.4, 130.2, 129.9, 129.5, 128.9, 127.4, 61.0, 48.4, 44.2 (t, J = 25.6 Hz, due to F), 36.8, 34.8, 28.3, 25.3, 22.6 (t, J = 21.1 Hz, due to F), 20.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, acetonitrile- $d_3$ )  $\delta$  –128.2, –129.4.

(2*R*)-1-[3-[[(1*S*)-1-(Benzoylamino)-2-phenylethyl]dihydroxysilyl]-2-methyl-1-oxopropyl]-1-proline Sodium Salt (6). To a 0 °C solution of 1-[3-[(1-(*R*)-benzoylamino-2-phenylethyl)difluorosilanyl]-2-(*S*)-methylpropionyl]pyrrolidine-3-(*S*)carboxylic acid (3 mg, 6.3  $\mu$ mol) in 1:99 CD<sub>3</sub>CN/D<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mL) was added a 0.2 M NaOH solution in D<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL) at room temperature. The reaction was monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR and after 20 min, the reaction was judged to be complete and quantitative by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>19</sup>F.

**6:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  7.47–7.10 (m, 10H), 4.02 (dd, J = 4.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 3.2, 12.8

Hz, 1H), 3.43–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.07 (dd, J = 3.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.72–2.66 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.54 (m, 1H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.78 (dd, J = 3.2, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 0.58 (dd, J = 10.8, 14.8 Hz, 1H);  $^{13}{\rm C}$  NMR (100 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  179.8, 179.0, 169.4, 140.8, 134.0, 131.4, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 126.5, 125.7, 62.4, 48.3, 44.8, 36.6, 33.5, 30.4, 25.0, 20.2, 18.9.

**7:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  7.49–7.11 (m, 10H), 4.08 (dd, J = 4.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 3.2, 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.53–3.32 (m, 2H), 3.05 (dd, J = 3.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.86–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.68 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.04–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.62 (m, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.76 (dd, J = 4.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.60 (dd, J = 10.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  182.1, 181.1, 171.8, 142.9, 136.1, 133.6, 131.0, 130.6, 130.2, 128.7, 127.9, 63.8, 49.6, 46.6, 37.5, 34.7, 31.5, 26.1, 21.3, 20.5.

8: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  7.50–7.10 (m, 10H), 4.12 (dd, J = 4.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 3.2, 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.51–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.01 (dd, J = 3.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.87–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.70 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.14–2.08 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.69 (m, 3H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.76 (dd, J = 4.4, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.60 (dd, J = 10.0, 14.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR

(100 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  180.9, 180.0, 170.6, 141.9, 135.3, 132.4, 129.9, 129.5, 129.1, 127.6, 126.9, 63.4, 47.9, 46.0, 36.5, 34.1, 32.1, 25.1, 20.7, 19.9.

**9:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  7.50–7.10 (m, 10H), 4.28 (dd, J = 2.4, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 2.8, 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.34–3.28 (m, 2H), 2.97 (dd, J = 2.8, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.70–2.67 (m, 1H), 2.63 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.05–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.56 (m, 2H), 0.94 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (dd, J = 4.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 0.68 (dd, J = 5.2, 15.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, 1% CD<sub>3</sub>CN in D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  180.9, 179.9, 170.9, 141.7, 135.2, 132.5, 130.0, 129.5, 129.2, 127.7, 126.9, 63.4, 48.4, 45.4, 36.5, 33.9, 32.2, 25.0, 20.7, 19.8.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported, in part, by the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and by the NIH.

**Supporting Information Available:** NMR spectra of all new structures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO048121V